

3759

IN THE

United States
Circuit Court
of Appeals

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHN SWENDIG, JAMES W. MIL-
LER, REMIGIUS GRAB, AND
ANTHONY KERR,

Appellants.

—vs—

THE WASHINGTON WATER
POWER COMPANY, a Corpora-
tion.

Appellee.

Brief for Appellants

On Appeal From the United States District Court for the
District of Idaho, Northern Division.


JAMES F. AILSHIE,
RAY AGEE,

Coeur d'Alene, Idaho,
Solicitors for Appellants.

FILED

JAN 30 1922

F. D. MONCKTON,
CLERK.



INDEX AND CASES CITED.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

Pages 5 to 9.

SPECIFICATION OF ERRORS.

Pages 10 to 11.

ARGUMENT.

Page 12.

SPECIFICATION OF ERRORS I TO VI, VII AND IX.

Pages 12 to 31.

Act of February 15, 1901 (31 Statutes at Large 790)

ISSUANCE OF PATENT REVOKES A LICENSE.

Pages 15 to 19.

De Haro vs. United States, 5 Wall. 599 (18 L. E. 681).

18 Am. & Eng. Encyc. of Law, page 1141.

22 Ruling Case Law, page 275, par. 37.

United States vs. Carl Schurz, 12 Otto 378 (26 L. E. 167).

Moore vs. Robbins, 6 Otto 530 (24 L. E. 848).

Iron Silver Mining Company vs. Campbell, 135 U. S. 286
(34 L. E. 155).

Stone vs. United States, 2 Wall. 525 (17 L. E. 765).

RIGHT OF EMINENT DOMAIN REQUIRES PAY- MENT OF JUST COMPENSATION.

Page 20.

Sec. 14, Article I of the Constitution of State of Idaho.

Sec. 7404 of the Idaho Compiled Statutes.

Hollister vs. State, 9 Idaho 8.

REGULATION OF THE LAND DEPARTMENT AND RIGHTS OF DEFENDANTS THEREUNDER.

Pages 20 to 29.

31 Land Decisions 17.

41 Land Decisions 152.

Witherspoon vs. Duncan, 4 Wall. 210 (18 L. E. 339).

INDEX AND CASES CITED.

- Wirth vs. Branson, 98 U. S. 118 (25 L. E. 86).
Cornelius vs. Kessel, 128 U. S. 456 (32 L. E. 482).
Northern Pacific Railway Co. vs. Smith, 171 U. S. 260
(43 L. E. 157).
Johnson vs. Drew, 171 U. S. 94 (43 L. E. 88).
Frisbie vs. Whitney, 76 U. S. 187 (19 L. E. 688).
Gonzales vs. French, 164 U. S. 187 (41 L. E. 458).
Hutchings vs. Low, 82 U. S. 77 (21 L. E. 82).
Steel vs. St. Louis Smelting & Refining Co., 106 U. S. 447
(27 L. E. 226).
Henshaw vs. Bissell, 85 U. S. 255 (21 L. E. 835).
Brant vs. The Virginia Coal & Iron Co., 93 U. S. 326 (23
L. E. 927).

PLAINTIFF'S TELEPHONE LINE.

Pages 29 to 31.

Act of March 3, 1901 (31 Statutes at Large 1083).

SPECIFICATION OF ERROR NO. VII.

Pages 31 to 32.

OPINION IN WASHINGTON WATER POWER COMPANY vs. HARBAUGH.

Pages 32 to 35.

Washington Water Power Co. vs. Harbaugh, 253 Fed.
681.

31 Statutes at Large 790.